

M451/551 Quiz 8

March 31, Prof. Connell

20

Name: Enrique Arayan

You do not need to simplify numerical expressions.

1. The utility function of an investor is $u(x) = 1 - e^{-x}$. The investor must choose one of two investments. If his fortune after investment 1 is a random variable with density function $f_1(x) = e^{-x}$, $x > 0$, and his fortune after investment 2 is a random variable with density function $f_2(x) = 1/2$, $0 < x < 2$, which investment should he choose?

Let $X =$ fortune after investment 1
 $Y =$ fortune after investment 2.

He should choose the investment $\max \{ E[u(X)], E[u(Y)] \}$.

$$\begin{aligned} E[u(X)] &= \int_0^{\infty} (1 - e^{-x}) e^{-x} dx = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} dx - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2x} dx \\ &= [-e^{-x}]_0^{\infty} - \left[-\frac{e^{-2x}}{2} \right]_0^{\infty} \\ &= \left\{ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [-e^{-t}] - [-e^0] \right\} - \left\{ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[-\frac{e^{-2t}}{2} \right] - \left[-\frac{e^0}{2} \right] \right\} \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \boxed{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[u(Y)] &= \int_0^2 (1 - e^{-y}) \frac{1}{2} dy = \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_0^2 1 dy - \int_0^2 e^{-y} dy \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [2 - [-e^{-y}]_0^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [2 + [e^{-2} - e^0]] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [1 + e^{-2}] \end{aligned}$$

Since $e^{-2} > 0 \Rightarrow 1 + e^{-2} > 1$, so $\frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-2}) > \frac{1}{2}$
 $\parallel \parallel$
 $E[u(Y)] \quad E[u(X)]$

He should choose investment 2.

(Problem #2 is on the other side.)

+10

2. In the portfolio selection problem, show that the percentage of one's wealth that should be invested in each security when attempting to maximize $E[\log(W_1)]$ does not depend on the amount of initial wealth W_0 .

We know that:

$$W_1 = \alpha_n X_n \alpha_{n-1} X_{n-1} \dots \alpha_1 X_1 \alpha_0 X_0 W_0,$$

where W_0 is the amount of initial wealth.

α_i is the % to be invested in investment i

X_i is investment i .

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \log(W_1) &= \log(\alpha_n X_n \alpha_{n-1} X_{n-1} \dots \alpha_1 X_1 \alpha_0 X_0 W_0) \\ &= \log(W_0) + \sum_{i=0}^n \log(\alpha_i X_i) \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation

$$\begin{aligned} E[\log(W_1)] &= E\left[\log(W_0) + \sum_{i=0}^n \log(\alpha_i X_i)\right] \dots \text{by def. of } \log(W_1) \\ &= E[\log(W_0)] + E\left[\sum_{i=0}^n \log(\alpha_i X_i)\right] \dots \text{by linearity of expectation} \\ &= \log(W_0) + E\left[\sum_{i=0}^n \log(\alpha_i X_i)\right] \dots \text{since } \log(W_0) \text{ is a constant.} \end{aligned}$$

So, we want to solve the optimization

$$\text{maximize}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} \log(W_0) + E\left[\sum_{i=0}^n \log(\alpha_i X_i)\right]$$

As soon as we take derivatives $\log(W_0)$ will vanish.

hence, the maximum does not depend on initial wealth W_0

+10