

# M451/551 Quiz 9

April 7, Prof. Connell

20

Name: Enrique Arayan.

You do not need to simplify numerical expressions.

1. If  $\beta_i$  is the beta of stock  $i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , what would be the beta of a portfolio in which  $\alpha_i$  is the fraction of ones capital that is used to purchase stock  $i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ )? (Assume  $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1$ .)

Our rate of return on the portfolio, say  $R_p$ , is given by

$$R_p = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i R_i \dots (1)$$

Also,  $\beta_i = \frac{\text{Cov}(R_i, R_M)}{\text{Var}(R_M)} \Rightarrow \text{Cov}(R_i, R_M) = \beta_i \text{Var}(R_M) \dots (2)$   
 for a given security  $i$

So, let  $\beta_p$  be the Beta of our portfolio. According to the previous equation:

$$\beta_p = \frac{\text{Cov}(R_p, R_M)}{\text{Var}(R_M)} = \frac{\text{Cov}\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i R_i, R_M\right)}{\text{Var}(R_M)} \quad \text{by (1)}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \text{Cov}(R_i, R_M)}{\text{Var}(R_M)} \quad \text{by bilinearity of covariance}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \frac{\beta_i \text{Var}(R_M)}{\text{Var}(R_M)} \quad \text{by (2)}$$

$$= \frac{\text{Var}(R_M)}{\text{Var}(R_M)} \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \beta_i \quad \text{factoring constants}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \beta_i$$

+10

(Problem #2 is on the other side.)

So, the beta of our portfolio is just the weighted sum of the betas for each security.

2. Let  $X_i$  be a Poisson random variable with mean  $\lambda_i$ . If  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ , show that  $X_1 \geq_{lr} X_2$ .

Poisson r.v. is a discrete r.v. Hence, we want to show that, if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are Poisson with mean  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  resp.

Then  $\frac{P(X_1 = k)}{P(X_2 = k)}$  is increasing in  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  provided  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ .

By definition  $P(X_i = k) = \frac{\lambda_i^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda_i}$ . Thus,

$$f(k) = \frac{P(X_1 = k)}{P(X_2 = k)} = \frac{\frac{\lambda_1^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda_1}}{\frac{\lambda_2^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda_2}} = \frac{\lambda_1^k \cdot \cancel{k!} e^{-\lambda_1}}{\lambda_2^k \cdot \cancel{k!} e^{-\lambda_2}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right)^k e^{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}$$

So the function  $f(k)$  is of the form  $f(k) \propto a \cdot b^k$ , where  $b > 1$  only because  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \Rightarrow \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \geq 1$ . and  $a > 0$  because the function  $e^{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}$  is always positive. clearly  $f(k)$  is an increasing function of  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

this shows that  $X_1 \geq_{lr} X_2$ .

T/O