

## 1 Review of Probability

Random variables are denoted by  $X, Y, Z$ , etc. The *cumulative distribution function (c.d.f.)* of a random variable  $X$  is denoted by  $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$ ,  $-\infty < x < \infty$ , and if the random variable is continuous then its probability density function is denoted by  $f(x)$  which is related to  $F(x)$  via

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= F'(x) = \frac{d}{dx}F(x) \\ F(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^x f(y)dy. \end{aligned}$$

The *probability mass function (p.m.f.)* of a discrete random variable is given by

$$p(k) = P(X = k), \quad -\infty < k < \infty,$$

for integers  $k$ .

$1 - F(x) = P(X > x)$  is called the *tail* of  $X$  and is denoted by  $\bar{F}(x) = 1 - F(x)$ . Whereas  $F(x)$  increases to 1 as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , and decreases to 0 as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ , the tail  $\bar{F}(x)$  decreases to 0 as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  and increases to 1 as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ .

If a r.v.  $X$  has a certain distribution with c.d.f.  $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$ , then we write, for simplicity of expression,

$$X \sim F. \tag{1}$$

### 1.1 Moments and variance

The expected value of a r.v. is denoted by  $E(X)$  and defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} kp(k), \text{ discrete case,} \\ E(X) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xf(x)dx, \text{ continuous case.} \end{aligned}$$

$E(X)$  is also referred to as the *first moment* or mean of  $X$  (or of its distribution).

*Higher moments*  $E(X^n)$ ,  $n \geq 1$  can be computed via

$$\begin{aligned} E(X^n) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k^n p(k), \text{ discrete case,} \\ E(X^n) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n f(x)dx, \text{ continuous case,} \end{aligned}$$

and more generally  $E(g(X))$  for a function  $g = g(x)$  can be computed via

$$\begin{aligned} E(g(X)) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} g(k)p(k), \text{ discrete case,} \\ E(g(X)) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)f(x)dx, \text{ continuous case.} \end{aligned}$$

(Letting  $g(x) = x^n$  yields moments for example.)

Finally, the variance of  $X$  is denoted by  $Var(X)$ , defined by  $E\{|X - E(X)|^2\}$ , and can be computed via

$$Var(X) = E(X^2) - E^2(X), \quad (2)$$

the second moment minus the square of the first moment.

We usually denote the variance by  $\sigma^2 = Var(X)$  and when necessary (to avoid confusion) include  $X$  as a subscript,  $\sigma_X^2 = Var(X)$ .  $\sigma = \sqrt{Var(X)}$  is called the *standard deviation* of  $X$ .

For any r.v.  $X$  and any number  $a$

$$E(aX) = aE(X), \text{ and } Var(aX) = a^2Var(X). \quad (3)$$

For any two r.v.s.  $X$  and  $Y$

$$E(X + Y) = E(X) + E(Y). \quad (4)$$

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, then

$$Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y). \quad (5)$$

The above properties generalize in the obvious fashion to any finite number of r.v.s. In general (independent or not)

$$Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y) + 2Cov(X, Y),$$

where

$$Cov(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E(XY) - E(X)E(Y),$$

is called the *covariance* between  $X$  and  $Y$ , and is usually denoted by  $\sigma_{X,Y} = Cov(X, Y)$ .

When  $Cov(X, Y) > 0$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  are said to be *positively correlated*, whereas when  $Cov(X, Y) < 0$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  are said to be *negatively correlated*. When  $Cov(X, Y) = 0$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  are said to be *uncorrelated*, and in general this is weaker than independence of  $X$  and  $Y$ : *there are examples of uncorrelated r.v.s. that are not independent*. Note in passing that  $Cov(X, X) = Var(X)$ .

The *correlation coefficient* of  $X, Y$  is defined by

$$\rho = \frac{\sigma_{X,Y}}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y},$$

and it always holds that  $-1 \leq \rho \leq 1$ . When  $\rho = 1$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  are said to be perfectly (positively) correlated.

## 1.2 Moment generating functions

The moment generating function (mgf) of a r.v.  $X$  (or its distribution) is defined for all  $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} M(s) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E(e^{sX}) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{sx} f(x) dx \quad \left( = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{sk} p(k) \text{ in the discrete r.v. case} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

It is so called because it generates the moments of  $X$  by differentiation at  $s = 0$ :

$$M'(0) = E(X), \quad (7)$$

and more generally

$$M^{(n)}(0) = E(X^n), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (8)$$

The mgf uniquely determines a distribution in that no two distributions can have the same mgf. So knowing a mgf characterizes the distribution in question.

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, then  $E(e^{s(X+Y)}) = E(e^{sX}e^{sY}) = E(e^{sX})E(e^{sY})$ , and we conclude that *the mgf of an independent sum is the product of the individual mgf's*.

Sometimes to stress the particular r.v.  $X$ , we write  $M_X(s)$ . Then the above independence property can be concisely expressed as

$$M_{X+Y}(s) = M_X(s)M_Y(s), \quad \text{when } X \text{ and } Y \text{ are independent.}$$

*Remark 1.1* For a given distribution,  $M(s) = \infty$  is possible for some values of  $s$ , but there is a large useful class of distributions for which  $M(s) < \infty$  for all  $s$  in a *neighborhood of the origin*, that is, for  $s \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  with  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small. Such distributions are referred to as *light-tailed* because their tails can be shown to tend to zero quickly. There also exists distributions for which no such neighborhood exists and this can be so even if the distribution has finite moments of all orders (see the lognormal distribution for example). A large class of such distributions are referred to as *heavy-tailed* because their tails tend to zero slowly.

*Remark 1.2* For non-negative r.v.s.  $X$ , it is sometimes more common to use the *Laplace transform*,  $\mathcal{L}(s) = E(e^{-sX})$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , which is always finite, and then  $(-1)^n \mathcal{L}^{(n)}(0) = E(X^n)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

For discrete r.v.s.  $X$ , it is sometimes more common to use

$$M(z) = E(z^X) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} z^k p(k), \quad |z| \leq 1$$

for the mgf in which case moments can be generated via  $M'(1) = E(X)$ ,  $M''(1) = E((X)(X-1))$ ,  $M^{(n)}(1) = E(X(X-1)\cdots(X-(n-1)))$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

### 1.3 Examples of well-known distributions

*Discrete case*

1. *Bernoulli distribution with success probability  $p$* : With  $0 < p < 1$  a constant,  $X$  has p.m.f.  $p(k) = P(X = k)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} p(1) &= p, \\ p(0) &= 1 - p, \\ p(k) &= 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $X$  only takes on the values 1 (success) or 0 (failure).

A simple computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= p \\ \text{Var}(X) &= p(1-p) \\ M(s) &= pe^s + 1 - p. \end{aligned}$$

Bernoulli r.v.s. arise naturally as the *indicator function*,  $X = I\{A\}$ , of an event  $A$ , where

$$I\{A\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the event } A \text{ occurs;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $p = P(X = 1) = P(A)$  is the probability that the event  $A$  occurs. For example, if you flip a coin once and let  $A = \{\text{coin lands heads}\}$ , then for  $X = I\{A\}$ ,  $X = 1$  if the coin lands heads, and  $X = 0$  if it lands tails. Because of this elementary and intuitive coin-flipping example, a Bernoulli r.v. is sometimes referred to as a coin flip, where  $p$  is the probability of landing heads.

Observing the outcome of a Bernoulli r.v. is sometimes called *performing a Bernoulli trial*, or experiment.

Keeping in the spirit of (1) we denote a Bernoulli  $p$  r.v. by

$$X \sim \text{Bern}(p).$$

2. *Binomial distribution with success probability  $p$  and  $n$  trials*: If we consecutively perform  $n$  independent Bernoulli  $p$  trials,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , then the total number of successes  $X = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  yields the Binomial r.v. with p.m.f.

$$p(k) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In our coin-flipping context, when consecutively flipping the coin exactly  $n$  times,  $p(k)$  denotes the probability that exactly  $k$  of the  $n$  flips land heads (and hence exactly  $n - k$  land tails).

A simple computation (utilizing  $X = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  and independence) yields

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= np \\ \text{Var}(X) &= np(1-p) \\ M(s) &= (pe^s + 1 - p)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Keeping in the spirit of (1) we denote a binomial  $n, p$  r.v. by

$$X \sim \text{bin}(n, p).$$

3. *geometric distribution with success probability  $p$* : The number of independent Bernoulli  $p$  trials required until the first success yields the geometric r.v. with p.m.f.

$$p(k) = \begin{cases} p(1-p)^{k-1}, & \text{if } k \geq 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In our coin-flipping context, when consecutively flipping the coin,  $p(k)$  denotes the probability that the  $k^{\text{th}}$  flip is the first flip to land heads (all previous  $k - 1$  flips land tails). The tail of  $X$  has the nice form  $\bar{F}(k) = P(X > k) = (1 - p)^k$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .

It can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \frac{1}{p} \\ \text{Var}(X) &= \frac{(1-p)}{p^2} \\ M(s) &= \frac{pe^s}{1 - (1-p)e^s}. \end{aligned}$$

(In fact, computing  $M(s)$  is straightforward and can be used to generate the mean and variance.)

Keeping in the spirit of (1) we denote a geometric  $p$  r.v. by

$$X \sim \text{geom}(p).$$

Note in passing that  $P(X > k) = (1 - p)^k$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .

*Remark 1.3* As a variation on the geometric, if we change  $X$  to denote the number of failures before the first success, and denote this by  $Y$ , then (since the first flip might be a success yielding no failures at all), the p.m.f. becomes

$$p(k) = \begin{cases} p(1 - p)^k, & \text{if } k \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and  $p(0) = p$ . Then  $E(Y) = (1 - p)p^{-1}$  and  $\text{Var}(Y) = (1 - p)p^{-2}$ . Both of the above are called the geometric distribution, and are related by  $Y = X - 1$ .

4. *Poisson distribution with mean (and variance)  $\lambda$* : With  $\lambda > 0$  a constant,  $X$  has p.m.f.

$$p(k) = \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}, & \text{if } k \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The Poisson distribution has the interesting property that both its mean and variance are identical  $E(X) = \text{Var}(X) = \lambda$ . Its mgf is given by

$$M(s) = e^{\lambda(e^s - 1)}.$$

The Poisson distribution arises as an approximation to the binomial  $(n, p)$  distribution when  $n$  is large and  $p$  is small: Letting  $\lambda = np$ ,

$$\binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k} \approx e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

Keeping in the spirit of (1) we denote a Poisson  $\lambda$  r.v. by

$$X \sim \text{Poiss}(\lambda).$$

### Continuous case

1. *uniform distribution on  $(a, b)$* : With  $a$  and  $b$  constants,  $X$  has density function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a}; & \text{if } x \in (a, b) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

c.d.f.

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-a}{b-a}, & \text{if } x \in (a, b); \\ 1, & \text{if } x \geq b; \\ 0, & \text{if } x \leq a, \end{cases}$$

and tail

$$\bar{F}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{b-x}{b-a}, & \text{if } x \in (a, b); \\ 0, & \text{if } x \geq b; \\ 1, & \text{if } x \leq a. \end{cases}$$

A simple computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \frac{a+b}{2} \\ \text{Var}(X) &= \frac{(b-a)^2}{12} \\ M(s) &= \frac{e^{sb} - e^{sa}}{s(b-a)}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $a = 0$  and  $b = 1$ , this is known as the *uniform distribution over the unit interval*, and has density  $f(x) = 1, x \in (0, 1)$ ,  $E(X) = 0.5$ ,  $\text{Var}(X) = 1/12$ ,  $M(s) = s^{-1}(e^s - 1)$ .

Keeping in the spirit of (1) we denote a uniform  $(a, b)$  r.v. by

$$X \sim \text{unif}(a, b).$$

2. *exponential distribution*: With  $\lambda > 0$  a constant,  $X$  has density function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, & \text{if } x \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } x < 0, \end{cases}$$

c.d.f.

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-\lambda x}, & \text{if } x \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } x < 0, \end{cases}$$

and tail

$$\bar{F}(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda x}, & \text{if } x \geq 0; \\ 1, & \text{if } x < 0, \end{cases}$$

A simple computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ \text{Var}(X) &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \\ M(s) &= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - s}. \end{aligned}$$

The exponential is famous for having the unique *memoryless property*,

$$P(X - y > x | X > y) = P(X > x), \quad x \geq 0, \quad y \geq 0,$$

in the sense that it is the unique distribution with this property.

(The geometric distribution satisfies a discrete version of this.)

Keeping in the spirit of (1) we denote an exponential  $\lambda$  r.v. by

$$X \sim \text{exp}(\lambda).$$

The exponential distribution can be viewed as approximating the distribution of the *time until the first success* when performing an independent  $\text{Bern}(p)$  trial every  $\Delta t$  units of time with  $p = \lambda \Delta t$  and  $\Delta t$  very small; as  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ , the approximation becomes exact.

3. *normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$* :  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ : The normal distribution is extremely important in applications because of the Central Limit Theorem (CLT). With  $-\infty < \mu < \infty$  (the mean) and  $\sigma^2 > 0$  (the variance) constants,  $X$  has density function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

This is also called the *Gaussian* distribution. We denote it by  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . When  $\mu = 0$  and  $\sigma^2 = 1$  it is called the *standard* or unit normal, denoted by  $N(0, 1)$ . If  $Z$  is  $N(0, 1)$ , then  $X = \sigma Z + \mu$  is  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Similarly, if  $X$  is  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , then  $Z = (x - \mu)/\sigma$  is  $N(0, 1)$ . Thus the c.d.f.  $F(x)$  can be expressed in terms of the c.d.f. of a unit normal  $Z$ . We therefore give the  $N(0, 1)$  c.d.f. the special notation  $\Theta(x)$ ;

$$\Theta(x) = P(Z \leq x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy,$$

and we see that

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= P(X \leq x) \\ &= P(\sigma Z + \mu \leq x) \\ &= P(Z \leq (x - \mu)/\sigma) \\ &= \Theta((x - \mu)/\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

$\Theta(x)$  does not have a closed form (e.g., a nice formula that we can write down and plug into); hence the importance of good numerical recipes for computing it, and tables of its values.

The moment generating function of  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  can be shown to be

$$M(s) = e^{s\mu + s^2\sigma^2/2}.$$

Keeping in the spirit of (1) we denote a  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  r.v. by

$$X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2).$$

4. *lognormal distribution*: If  $Y$  is  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , then  $X = e^Y$  is a non-negative r.v. having the *lognormal distribution*; called so because its natural logarithm  $Y = \ln(X)$  yields a normal r.v.

$X$  has density

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\ln(x)-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}, & \text{if } x \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Observing that  $E(X)$  and  $E(X^2)$  are simply the moment generating function of  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  evaluated at  $s = 1$  and  $s = 2$  respectively yields

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}} \\ \text{Var}(X) &= e^{2\mu + \sigma^2} (e^{\sigma^2} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

(It can be shown that  $M(s) = \infty$  for any  $s > 0$ .)

The lognormal distribution plays an important role in financial engineering since it is frequently used to model stock prices. As with the normal distribution, the c.d.f. does not have a closed form, but it can be computed from that of the normal via  $P(X \leq x) = P(Y \leq \ln(x))$  due to the relation  $X = e^Y$ , and we conclude that  $F(x) = \Theta((\ln(x) - \mu)/\sigma)$ . Thus computations for  $F(x)$  are reduced to dealing with  $\Theta(x)$ , the c.d.f. of  $N(0, 1)$ .

Keeping in the spirit of (1) we denote a lognormal  $\mu, \sigma^2$  r.v. by

$$X \sim \text{lognorm}(\mu, \sigma^2).$$

5. *Pareto distribution:* With constant  $a > 0$ ,  $X$  has density

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} ax^{-(1+a)}, & \text{if } x \geq 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } x < 1, \end{cases},$$

c.d.f.

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x^{-a}, & \text{if } x \geq 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and tail

$$\bar{F}(x) = \begin{cases} x^{-a}, & \text{if } x \geq 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

(In many applications,  $a$  is an integer.) A simple computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \frac{a}{a-1}, \quad a > 1; \quad (= \infty \text{ otherwise}) \\ \text{Var}(X) &= \frac{a}{a-2} - \left(\frac{a}{a-1}\right)^2, \quad a > 2; \quad (= \infty \text{ otherwise}). \end{aligned}$$

(It can be shown that  $M(s) = \infty$  for any  $s > 0$ .)

It is easily seen that  $E(X^n) = \infty$  for all  $n \geq a$ : *The Pareto distribution has infinite moments for high enough  $n$ .* The Pareto distribution has the important feature that its tail  $\bar{F}(x) = x^{-a}$  tends to 0, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , slower than does any exponential tail  $e^{-\lambda x}$  or any lognormal tail. It is an example of a distribution with a very *heavy* or *fat* tail. Data suggests that the distribution of stock prices resembles the Pareto more than it does the widely used lognormal.

Keeping in the spirit of (1) we denote a Pareto  $a$  r.v. by

$$X \sim \text{Pareto}(a).$$

*Remark 1.4* Variations on the Pareto distribution exist which allow the mass to start at different locations;  $\bar{F}(x) = (c/(c+x))^a$ ,  $x \geq 0$  with  $c > 0$  and  $a > 0$  constants for example.

## 1.4 Calculating expected values by integrating the tail

Given a continuous non-negative random variable  $X$ , we typically calculate, by definition, its *expected value* (also called its *mean*) via

$$E(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^\infty xf(x)dx,$$

where  $f(x)$  is the density function of  $X$ . However, it is usually easier to calculate  $E(X)$  by integrating the tail  $\bar{F}(x)$ :



**Proposition 1.1 (Computing  $E(X)$  via Integrating the Tail Method)** *If  $X$  is a non-negative random variable, then  $E(X)$  can be computed via*

$$E(X) = \int_0^{\infty} \bar{F}(x) dx. \quad (9)$$

*Proof* : Letting

$$I(x) = I\{X > x\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } X > x; \\ 0, & \text{if } X \leq x, \end{cases}$$

denote the indicator function for the event  $\{X > x\}$ ,

$$X = \int_0^X dx = \int_0^{\infty} I(x) dx,$$

which is easily seen by graphing the function  $I(x)$  as a function of  $x$  (which yields a rectangle with length  $X$  and height 1, thus with area  $X$ ). Taking expectations we conclude that

$$E(X) = E\left\{ \int_0^{\infty} I(x) dx \right\}.$$

Finally, interchanging the order of integral and expected value (allowed since everything here is non-negative; formally this is an application of *Tonelli's Theorem*) and recalling that  $E(I\{B\}) = P(B)$  for any event  $B$ , where here  $B = \{X > x\}$ , yields

$$E(X) = \int_0^{\infty} E(I(x)) dx = \int_0^{\infty} P(X > x) dx,$$

as was to be shown. ■

### 1.4.1 Examples

1. (*Exponential distribution*):  $\bar{F}(x) = e^{-\lambda x}$  which when integrated yields

$$E(X) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda x} dx = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Note that computing this expected value by integrating  $xf(x) = x\lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  would require integration by parts.

2. (*Computing  $E(\min\{X, Y\})$  for independent  $X$  and  $Y$  :*)

Consider two r.v.s.  $X$  and  $Y$ . Let  $Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\{X, Y\}$  (the minimum value of  $X$  and  $Y$ ;  $Z = X$  if  $X \leq Y$ ,  $Z = Y$  if  $Y < X$ ). Then  $P(Z > z) = P(X > z, Y > z)$  because the minimum of  $X$  and  $Y$  is greater than  $z$  if and only if both  $X$  and  $Y$  are greater than  $z$ . If we also assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, then  $P(X > z, Y > z) = P(X > z)P(Y > z)$ , and so (when  $\min\{X, Y\}$  is non-negative) we can compute  $E(Z)$  via

$$E(Z) = \int_0^{\infty} P(Z > z) dz = \int_0^{\infty} P(X > z)P(Y > z) dz,$$

a very useful result. For example, suppose  $X \sim \text{exp}(1)$  and  $Y \sim \text{exp}(2)$  are independent. Then for  $Z = \min\{X, Y\}$ ,  $P(Z > z) = e^{-z}e^{-2z} = e^{-3z}$  and we conclude that  $E(Z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-3z} dz = 1/3$ .

(In fact what we have shown here, more generally, is that the minimum of two independent exponentially distributed r.v.s. is itself exponentially distributed with rate as the sum of the individual rates.)

3. (Computing  $E\{(X - K)^+\}$  for a constant  $K \geq 0$ ;) For any number  $a$ , the *positive part* of  $a$  is defined by  $a^+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{0, a\}$  (the maximum value of 0 and  $a$ ;  $a^+ = a$  if  $a > 0$ ; 0 otherwise). For fixed  $K \geq 0$  and any random variable  $X$ , with c.d.f.  $F(x)$ , let  $Y = (X - K)^+$ , the positive part of  $X - K$ . Then since  $Y$  is non-negative we can compute  $E(Y)$  by integrating its tail. But for any  $x \geq 0$ , it holds that  $a^+ > x$  if and only if  $a > x$ , yielding  $(X - K)^+ > x$  if and only if  $(X - K) > x$ ; equivalently if and only if  $X > x + K$ . We thus conclude that  $P(Y > x) = P(X > x + K) = \bar{F}(x + K)$  yielding

$$E(Y) = \int_0^\infty \bar{F}(x + K)dx = \int_K^\infty \bar{F}(x)dx,$$

where we changed variables,  $u = x + K$ , to obtain the last integral.

For example suppose  $X \sim \text{unif}(0, 1)$  and  $K = 0.5$ . Then

$$E(X - 0.5)^+ = \int_{0.5}^\infty (1 - x)dx = 0.125$$

Application of  $E\{(X - K)^+\}$ : Suppose that you own an option to buy a stock at price  $K = 2$ , at time  $T = 6$  (months from now). The stock price at time  $T = 6$  will have value  $X = S(T)$  (random). You will exercise the option if and only if  $S(T) > 2$ ; and do nothing otherwise. (The idea being that if  $S(T) > 2$ , then you will buy it at price 2 and immediately sell it at the market price  $S(T) > 2$ .) Then  $(S(T) - 2)^+$  is your payoff at time  $T$ , and  $E\{(S(T) - 2)^+\}$  your expected payoff.

### 1.4.2 Computing higher moments

It can be shown more generally that the  $n^{\text{th}}$  moment of  $X$ ,  $E(X^n) = \int_0^\infty x^n f(x)dx$ , can be computed as

$$E(X^n) = \int_0^\infty nx^{n-1}P(X > x)dx, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{10}$$

yielding the second moment of  $X$  when  $n = 2$ :

$$E(X^2) = \int_0^\infty 2xP(X > x)dx. \tag{11}$$

The proof follows from the fact that

$$X^n = \int_0^X nx^{n-1}dx = \int_0^\infty nx^{n-1}I\{X > x\}dx.$$

### 1.4.3 Discrete-time case

Integrating the tail method also is valid for non-negative discrete-time random variables; the integral is replaced by a summation:

$$E(X) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty P(X > k).$$

For example, if  $X$  has a geometric distribution, then  $\bar{F}(k) = (1 - p)^k$ ,  $k \geq 0$  yielding

$$E(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - p)^k = \frac{1}{p},$$

via the sum of a geometric series.

## 1.5 Strong Law of Large Numbers and the Central limit theorem (CLT)

A *stochastic process* is a collection of r.v.s.  $\{X_t : t \in T\}$  with index set  $T$ . If  $T = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is the discrete set of integers, then we obtain a sequence of random variables  $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots$  denoted by  $\{X_n : n \geq 0\}$  (or just  $\{X_n\}$ ). In this case we refer to the value  $X_n$  as the *state* of the process at time  $n$ . For example  $X_n$  might denote the stock price of a given stock at the end of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  day. If time  $n$  starts at  $n = 1$ , then we write  $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$  and so on. If time is continuous (meaning that the index set  $T = [0, \infty)$ ) then we have a continuous-time stochastic process denoted by  $\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$ .

A very special (but important) case of a discrete-time stochastic process is when the r.v.s. are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.). In this case there are two classical and fundamental results, the strong law of large numbers (SLLN) and the central limit theorem (CLT):

**Theorem 1.1 (SLLN)** *If  $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$  are i.i.d. with finite mean  $E(X) = \mu$ , then w.p.1.,*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \longrightarrow \mu, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

One of the practical consequences of the SLLN is that we can, for  $n$  large enough, use the approximation

$$E(X) \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i,$$

when trying to determine an a priori unknown mean  $E(X) = \mu$ . For this reason the SLLN is fundamental in *Monte Carlo Simulation*.

We now state the central limit theorem:

**Theorem 1.2 (CLT)** *If  $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$  are i.i.d. with finite mean  $E(X) = \mu$  and finite non-zero variance  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X)$ , then*

$$Z_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\mu \right) \Longrightarrow N(0, 1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{in distribution};$$

(in other words,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(Z_n \leq x) = \Theta(x)$ ,  $-\infty < x < \infty$ , where  $\Theta(x)$  is the cdf of  $N(0, 1)$ .)

The CLT allows us to approximate sums of i.i.d. r.v.s. endowed with any c.d.f.  $F$  (even if unknown) by the c.d.f. of a normal, as long as the variance of  $F$  is finite; it says that for  $n$  sufficiently large,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \approx N(n\mu, n\sigma^2).$$

The famous normal approximation to the binomial distribution is but one example, for a binomial rv can be written as the sum of i.i.d. Bernoulli rvs, and thus the CLT applies.

Sometimes, the CLT is written in terms of the sample average,

$$\bar{X}(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j, \quad (12)$$

in which case it becomes

$$Z_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} (\bar{X}(n) - \mu) \implies N(0, 1).$$

If  $\mu = 0$  and  $\sigma^2 = 1$ , then the CLT simplifies to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \implies N(0, 1),$$

or equivalently

$$\sqrt{n} \bar{X}(n) \implies N(0, 1).$$

The CLT yields the theoretical justification for the construction of *confidence intervals*, allowing one to say, for example, that

“I am 95% confident that the true mean  $\mu$  lies within the interval [22.2, 22.4]”.

We briefly review this next.

### 1.6 Confidence intervals for estimating an unknown mean $\mu = E(X)$

In statistics, we estimate an unknown mean  $\mu = E(X)$  of a distribution by collecting  $n$  iid samples from the distribution,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and using as our approximation the sample mean

$$\bar{X}(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j. \quad (13)$$

But how good an approximation is this? The CLT helps answer that question:

Letting  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X)$  denote the variance of the distribution, we conclude that

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}(n)) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}. \quad (14)$$

The central limit theorem asserts that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the distribution of

$Z_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} (\bar{X}(n) - \mu)$  tends to  $N(0, 1)$ , the unit normal distribution. Letting  $Z$  denote a  $N(0, 1)$  rv, we conclude that for  $n$  sufficiently large,

$Z_n \approx Z$  in distribution. From here we obtain for any  $z \geq 0$ ,

$$P(|\bar{X}(n) - \mu| > z \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}) \approx P(|Z| > z) = 2P(Z > z).$$

(We can obtain any value of  $P(Z > z)$  by referring to tables, etc.)

For any  $\alpha > 0$  no matter how small (such as  $\alpha = 0.05$ ), letting  $z_{\alpha/2}$  be such that  $P(Z > z_{\alpha/2}) = \alpha/2$ , we thus have

$$P(|\bar{X}(n) - \mu| > z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}) \approx \alpha,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$P(\bar{X}(n) - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu \leq \bar{X}(n) + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}) \approx 1 - \alpha,$$

which implies that the unknown mean  $\mu$  lies within the interval  $\bar{X}(n) \pm z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  with (approximately) probability  $1 - \alpha$ .

We have thus constructed a *confidence interval* for our estimate:

*we say that the interval  $\bar{X}(n) \pm z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  is a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for the mean  $\mu$ .*

Typically, we would use (say)  $\alpha = 0.05$  in which case  $z_{\alpha/2} = z_{0.025} = 1.96$ , and we thus obtain a 95% confidence interval  $\bar{X}(n) \pm (1.96) \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

The length of the confidence interval is  $2(1.96) \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  which of course tends to 0 as the sample size  $n$  gets larger.

The main problem with using such confidence intervals is that we would not actually know the value of  $\sigma^2$ ; it would be unknown (just as  $\mu$  is). But this is not really a problem: we instead use an estimate for it, the *sample variance*  $s^2(n)$  defined by

$$s^2(n) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X}_n)^2.$$

It can be shown that  $s^2(n) \rightarrow \sigma^2$ , with probability 1, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and that  $E(s^2(n)) = \sigma^2$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

So, in practice we would use  $s(n)$  in place of  $\sigma$  when constructing our confidence intervals. For example, a 95% confidence interval is given by  $\bar{X}(n) \pm (1.96) \frac{s(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

The following recursions can be derived; they are useful when implementing a simulation requiring a confidence interval:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_{n+1} &= \bar{X}_n + \frac{X_{n+1} - \bar{X}_n}{n+1}, \\ S_{n+1}^2 &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) S_n^2 + (n+1)(\bar{X}_{n+1} - \bar{X}_n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

## 1.7 Multivariate random variables and joint distributions

With  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathcal{R}^n$ , the distribution of a (continuous) random vector  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)^T$  can be described by a joint density function

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

If the rvs are independent, then this  $f$  decomposes into the product of the individual density functions,

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = f_1(x_1) f_2(x_2) \cdots f_n(x_n),$$

where  $f_i$  denotes the density function of  $X_i$ . In general, however, correlations exist among the  $n$  rvs and thus such a simple product form does not hold. The joint cdf is given by

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = P(X_1 \leq x_1, \dots, X_n \leq x_n).$$

The moment generating function of a random vector is defined as follows: For  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)^T$

$$M_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) = E(e^{\theta^T \mathbf{X}}) = E(e^{\theta_1 X_1 + \dots + \theta_n X_n}). \quad (15)$$

A very important class of random vectors appearing in applications are multivariate normals (*Gaussian*), for then the joint distribution is completely determined by the vector of means

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)^T = E(\mathbf{X}) = (E(X_1), E(X_2), \dots, E(X_n))^T,$$

and the  $n \times n$  matrix of covariances,

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = (\sigma_{i,j}),$$

where  $\sigma_{i,j} = \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = E(X_i X_j) - E(X_i)E(X_j)$ , with  $0 < \sigma_{ii} = \sigma_i^2 = \text{Var}(X_i)$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . We denote such a normal vector by  $\mathbf{X} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . Such a  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is *symmetric*,

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^T = \boldsymbol{\Sigma},$$

and *positive semidefinite*,

$$\mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{x} \geq 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}^n,$$

and any  $n \times n$  matrix with those two properties defines a covariance matrix for a multivariate normal distribution. *Positive semidefinite is equivalent to all eigenvalues of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  being non-negative*. The moment generating function (15) has an elegant form analogous to the one-dimensional normal case:

$$M_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) = E(e^{\theta^T \mathbf{X}}) = e^{\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \theta + \frac{1}{2} \theta^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \theta}. \quad (16)$$

A very nice feature of a Gaussian vector  $\mathbf{X}$  is that it can always be expressed as a linear transformation of  $n$  iid  $N(0, 1)$  rvs. If  $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n)^T$  are iid  $N(0, 1)$ , then there exists a linear mapping (matrix)  $\mathbf{A}$  (from  $\mathcal{R}^n$  to  $\mathcal{R}^n$ ), such that

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z} + \boldsymbol{\mu}. \quad (17)$$

In this case  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T$ . Conversely, *any linear transformation of a Gaussian vector is yet again Gaussian*: If  $\mathbf{X} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  is a  $m \times n$  matrix, then

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{X} \sim N(\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{B}^T) \quad (18)$$

is an  $m$  dimensional Gaussian where  $m \geq 1$  can be any integer.

For purposes of constructing a desired  $\mathbf{X} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  using (17) a solution  $\mathbf{A}$  to  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T$  is not unique (in general), but it is easy to find one such solution by *diagonalization*:

Because  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is symmetric with real elements, it can be re-written as  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}^T$ , where  $\mathbf{D}$  is the diagonal matrix of the  $n$  eigenvalues, and  $\mathbf{U}$  is an *orthogonal* matrix ( $\mathbf{U}^T = \mathbf{U}^{-1}$ ) with columns as the associated eigenvectors. Because  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is also positive semidefinite, all the eigenvalues must be non-negative yielding the existence of  $\sqrt{\mathbf{D}}$  and thus a solution

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\sqrt{\mathbf{D}}. \quad (19)$$

Thus finding an  $\mathbf{A}$  reduces to finding the  $\mathbf{U}$  and the  $\mathbf{D}$ . There also exists a *lower triangular* solution to  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T$  called the *Cholesky decomposition* of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ . Such a decomposition is more attractive computationally (for algebraically computing  $\mathbf{X}$  from  $\mathbf{Z}$ ) since it requires less additions and multiplications along the way. If the matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  has full rank (equivalently,  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is positive definite (all  $n$  eigenvalues are positive) as opposed to only being positive semidefinite), then the Cholesky decomposition can be easily computed iteratively by solving for it directly: Assuming  $\mathbf{A}$  is lower triangular, solve the set of equations generated when setting  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T$ . We will study this in more detail later when we learn how to efficiently simulate multivariate normal rvs.

## 1.8 Practice problems

- Each of the following non-negative random variables  $X$  has a continuous distribution. Calculate the expected value  $E(X)$  in two ways: Directly via  $E(X) = \int xf(x)dx$  and by integrating the tail  $E(X) = \int_0^\infty P(X > x)dx$ .
  - Exponential distribution with rate  $\lambda$ .
  - Uniform distribution on  $(1, 3)$
  - Pareto distribution with  $a = 2$ .

- (Continued) Now repeat the above for calculating the second moment  $E(X^2)$  of each r.v. in two ways: Directly via  $E(X^2) = \int x^2f(x)dx$  and by use of (11).
- (Continued) Using your answers from above, give the variance  $Var(X)$  of each random variable,

$$Var(X) = E(X^2) - (E(X))^2.$$

- Compute  $E\{(X - 2)^+\}$  when  $X$  has an exponential distribution with rate  $\lambda = 0.2$ .
- Let  $X$  have an exponential distribution with rate  $\lambda$ . Show that  $X$  has the *memoryless property*:

$$P(X - y > x | X > y) = P(X > x), \quad x \geq 0, \quad y \geq 0.$$

Now let  $X$  have a geometric distribution with success  $p$ . Show that  $X$  has the *memoryless property*:

$$P(X - m > k | X > m) = P(X > k), \quad k \geq 0, \quad m \geq 0.$$

( $k$  and  $m$  are integers only.)

- The joint density of  $X > 0$  and  $Y > 0$  is given by

$$f(x, y) = \frac{e^{-x/y}e^{-y}}{y}, \quad x > 0, \quad y > 0.$$

Show that  $E(X|Y = y) = y$ .

- Let  $X$  have a uniform distribution on the interval  $(0, 1)$ . Find  $E(X|X < 0.5)$ .
- Suppose that  $X$  has an exponential distribution with rate 1:  $P(X \leq x) = 1 - e^{-x}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ . For  $\lambda > 0$ , show that  $Y = (\lambda^{-1}X)^2$  has a Weibull distribution,  $P(Y \leq x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda\sqrt{x}}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ . From this fact, and the algorithm given in Lecture 1 for generating (simulating) an exponential rv from a unit uniform  $U$  rv, give an algorithm for simulating such a  $Y$  that uses only one  $U$ .
- A man is trapped in a cave. There are three exits, 1, 2, 3. If he chooses 1, then after a 2 day journey he ends up back in the cave. If he chooses 2, then after a 4 day journey he ends up back in the cave. If he chooses 3, then after a 5 day journey he is outside and free.

He is equally likely to choose any one of the three exits, and each time (if any) he returns back in the cave he is again, independent of his past mistakes, equally likely to choose any one of the three exits (it is dark so he can't see which one he chose before).

Let  $N$  denote the total number of attempts (exit choosings) he makes until becoming free. Let  $X$  denote the total number of days until he is free.

- (a) Compute  $P(N = k)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . What name is given to this famous distribution?
- (b) Compute  $E(N)$ .
- (c) By using the method of conditioning (condition on the exit first chosen), compute  $E(X)$ . Hint: Let  $D$  denote the first exit chosen. Then  $E(X|D = 1) = 2 + E(X)$ , and so on, where  $P(D = i) = 1/3$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Moreover  $E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^3 E(X|D = i)P(D = i)$ .