

# Eigenvectors: Similarity and Bases

## Lay 5.4

November 11, 2013

### 1 Bases and coordinate vectors

Remember that if  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then for each  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we can write  $\mathbf{x} = c_1\mathbf{b}_1 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{b}_n$  for some unique set of scalars  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ . We call the vector whose  $i$ th entry is  $c_i$  the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinate vector  $[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

**Example 1.1.** The set  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2\}$ , where

$$\mathbf{b}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . If

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

then  $\mathbf{x} = 2\mathbf{b}_1 - 2\mathbf{b}_2$ , so

$$[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

### 2 Linear transformations in a basis

Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a linear transformation. Suppose we have two bases  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_m\}$ , for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$  respectively. If  $\mathbf{x}$  is any vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $T(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and we can write  $\mathbf{x}$  and its image in  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates and  $\mathcal{C}$ -coordinates respectively, with corresponding coordinate vectors  $[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ ,  $[T(\mathbf{x})]_{\mathcal{C}}$ . For definiteness, let's say that  $\mathbf{x} = r_1\mathbf{b}_1 + \dots + r_n\mathbf{b}_n$ . Then  $T(\mathbf{x}) = r_1T(\mathbf{b}_1) + \dots + r_nT(\mathbf{b}_n)$ , by linearity. Now, each  $T(\mathbf{b}_i)$  appearing in this sum can be written as a linear combination of the  $\mathbf{c}_i$ 's. If you write each of these linear combinations and collect terms, you get a formula for the  $\mathcal{C}$ -coordinates of  $T(\mathbf{x})$  in terms of the  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}$ . It is

$$[T(\mathbf{x})]_{\mathcal{C}} = M[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$$

where the  $m \times n$  matrix  $M$  is

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} [T(\mathbf{b}_1)]_{\mathcal{C}} & \dots & [T(\mathbf{b}_n)]_{\mathcal{C}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We call  $M$  the matrix for  $T$  relative to the bases  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ . We saw in a past lecture that every linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  can be written as multiplication by a matrix  $A$ . The matrix  $A$  represents “how the function  $T$  looks from the point of view of the standard bases”. The matrix  $M$  we constructed above describes how the transformation looks from the point of view of  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Example 2.1.** Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be the linear transformation with standard matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the basis for  $\mathbb{R}^2$  from the previous example, and consider a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  denoted by  $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_3\}$ , where

$$\mathbf{c}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$T(\mathbf{b}_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 9 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T(\mathbf{b}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$[T(\mathbf{b}_1)]_{\mathcal{C}} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [T(\mathbf{b}_2)]_{\mathcal{C}} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the matrix  $M$  is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 9 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 \\ -7 & -4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

## 2.1 On the same space $\mathbb{R}^n$

The above was introduced largely to consider what diagonalization actually means. Let's consider a linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  (so the standard matrix  $A$  of  $T$  is square).

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  be diagonalizable. If  $A = PDP^{-1}$  (with  $D$  diagonal), and we denote by  $\mathcal{B}$  the basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  formed from the columns of  $P$ , then  $D$  is the  $\mathcal{B}$ -matrix for the transformation  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto A\mathbf{x}$ .*

When we write a matrix product  $A\mathbf{x}$  in its diagonalized form  $PDP^{-1}\mathbf{x}$ , what we are actually doing in the computation of  $PDP^{-1}\mathbf{x}$  is the following (where  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$  are the basis of eigenvectors of  $A$ ):

- Mapping  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$
- Multiplying the entries of  $[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  by the corresponding eigenvalues;
- Mapping back to the standard basis  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ .

**Example 2.3.** We will illustrate the above list with a specific example. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$A$  has eigenvalues  $-1$  and  $3$ , with corresponding eigenvectors  $\mathbf{b}_1 = (-1, 1)$  and  $\mathbf{b}_2 = (1, 1)$ .

This means that  $A = PDP^{-1}$ , where

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let's take  $\mathbf{x} = (2, -1)$ . Then  $A\mathbf{x} = (0, 3)$  by explicit calculation. We will show how this arises from the form  $A = PDP^{-1}$ .

- $P^{-1}\mathbf{x} = (-3/2, 1/2)$ . Notice that  $\mathbf{x} = (-3/2)\mathbf{b}_1 + (1/2)\mathbf{b}_2$ , so  $P^{-1}\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ , as described above.
- $D$  acts diagonally on  $[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  to give  $(3/2, 3/2)$ . This agrees with the fact that  $\mathbf{x} = (-3/2)\mathbf{b}_1 + (1/2)\mathbf{b}_2$  and the  $\mathbf{b}_i$ 's are eigenvectors.
- $P$  now changes basis back to the standard basis, and we see  $P \begin{bmatrix} 3/2 \\ 3/2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ , as we calculated earlier.

### 3 Similarity of Matrix Representations

For linear transformations mapping  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the characterization of similar matrices from the last section holds whether or not the transformation is diagonalizable. That is:

**Theorem 3.1.** *If we have an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  such that  $A = PCP^{-1}$ , then  $C$  is the  $\mathcal{B}$ -matrix for the transformation  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto A\mathbf{x}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  is the basis made up of the columns of  $P$ . Similarly, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then the  $\mathcal{B}$ -matrix for  $A$  is given by  $P^{-1}AP$ , where  $P$  is the matrix whose columns are the vectors in  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

**Example 3.2.** Consider the matrix  $A$  and the basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2\}$  for  $\mathbb{R}^2$  given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then if  $T$  is the linear transformation defined by  $T(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$ , then the  $\mathcal{B}$ -matrix for  $T$  (denoted by  $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ ) is given by  $[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = P^{-1}AP$ , where

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Doing the calculation of the product  $P^{-1}AP$  gives

$$[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$