

(1) Let  $C \in M_n(F)$  and suppose  $v^t C w = v^t w$  for all  $v, w \in F^n$ . Prove  $C = I_n$

Pf: Since the condition holds for all elements of  $F^n$ , in particular it holds for  $e_i$  where  $e_i = (0 \dots 0 \overset{i\text{th position}}{1} \dots 0)^T$  therefore, apply the condition for  $e_i$  and  $e_j$  with  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ :

First note that if  $v^t = e_i$  and  $w = e_j$  then  $v^t w = e_i^t e_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$   
 then,  $v^t C w = e_i^t (C e_j) = e_i^t (C_j)$ , where  $C_j$  represents the  $j$ th column of  $C$   
 $= C_{ij}$ , where  $C_{ij}$  represents the  $(i,j)$  entry of  $C$   
 $= e_i^t e_j$ , by hypothesis.

Therefore,  $C_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ , that is,  $C = I_n$ .

+10

(3) Determine whether the following matrix over  $F_{11}$  can be diagonalized:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 5 & -1 \\ -2 & 4 & -5 \\ 2 & -3 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution: Let us find its eigenvalues. For that purpose let us compute the  $\text{ch}_A(\lambda)$

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0 \Rightarrow \det \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 5 & -1 \\ -2 & 4 & -5 \\ 2 & -3 & 6 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right] = \det \begin{bmatrix} 5-\lambda & 5 & -1 \\ -2 & 4-\lambda & -5 \\ 2 & -3 & 6-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad (*)$$

$$= (5-\lambda) \begin{vmatrix} 4-\lambda & -5 \\ -3 & 6-\lambda \end{vmatrix} - 5 \begin{vmatrix} -2 & -5 \\ 2 & 6-\lambda \end{vmatrix} + (-1) \begin{vmatrix} -2 & 4-\lambda \\ 2 & -3 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= [(5-\lambda)(4-\lambda)(6-\lambda) - 15] - 5[-2(6-\lambda) + 10] - 1[6 - (2)(4-\lambda)]$$

$$= [(5-\lambda)(4-\lambda)(6-\lambda) - 15] - 5[-12 + 2\lambda + 10] - 1[6 - 8 + 2\lambda]$$

$$= [(5-\lambda)(4-\lambda)(6-\lambda) - 15] - 6[2\lambda - 2]$$

$$= [(5-\lambda)(4-\lambda)(6-\lambda) - 15] - 12\lambda + 12$$

$$= [(5-\lambda)(24 - 10\lambda + \lambda^2) - 15] - 12\lambda + 12$$

$$= [5\lambda^2 - 50\lambda + 45 - \lambda^3 + 10\lambda^2 - 9\lambda] - 12\lambda + 12$$

$$= -\lambda^3 + 15\lambda^2 - 71\lambda + 57$$

Check!

A is indeed diagonalizable

$\lambda = 1$  is a root,

so we can factor  $\text{ch}_A$  as:

$$\text{ch}_A(\lambda) = (\lambda-1)(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)$$

continue (\*)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 5 & -1 \\ -2 & 4 & -5 \\ 2 & -3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 5x_1 + 5x_2 - x_3 = x_1 \\ -2x_1 + 4x_2 - 5x_3 = x_2 \\ 2x_1 - 3x_2 + 6x_3 = x_3 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} 4x_1 + 5x_2 - x_3 = 0 \\ -2x_1 + 3x_2 - 5x_3 = 0 \\ 2x_1 - 3x_2 + 5x_3 = 0 \end{cases} \rightarrow \text{this is a multiple of the last eq, it provides no information}$$

$$\begin{cases} 4x_1 + 5x_2 - x_3 = 0 \\ -4x_1 + 6x_2 - 10x_3 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} 0x_1 + 11x_2 - 11x_3 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow x_2 - x_3 = 0 \end{matrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_2 = x_3$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 + x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = -x_2 = -x_3$$

Repeating in first eq:  $4x_1 + 5x_2 - x_2 = 4x_1 + 4x_2 = 0$   
 $\Rightarrow x_1 = -x_2 = -x_3$ . Hence:  
 $V_\lambda = \langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$ . therefore,  
 $\dim(V_\lambda) = 1$ , not enough for the matrix to be diagonalizable over  $F_{11}$ .

(2) For any field  $F$  we can define the orthogonal group  $O_n(F)$  as follow

$$O_n(F) = \{A \in M_n(F) \mid A^t A = I_n\}. \text{ It is easy to see that } O_n(F) \subseteq GL_n(F).$$

(a) Prove that  $O_2(F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in F \text{ and } a^2 + b^2 = 1 \right\}.$

Pf: By double contention:

( $\supseteq$ ) Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $a, b \in F$  and  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ . then,

$$A^t A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{pmatrix}^t \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & \pm b \\ b & \mp a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 + (\pm b)^2 & ab + (\pm b)(\mp a) \\ ab + (\pm b)(\mp a) & b^2 + (\mp a)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a^2 + b^2 & ab - ab \\ ab - ab & a^2 + b^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I_2. \text{ Hence, } A \in O_2(F).$$

( $\subseteq$ ) let  $A \in O_2(F)$ . By definition,  $A^t A = I_n$ . Suppose  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $a, b, c, d \in F$

then,  $A^t A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^t \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 + c^2 & ab + cd \\ ab + cd & b^2 + d^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a^2 + c^2 = 1 \\ ab + cd = 0 \\ b^2 + d^2 = 1 \end{cases} \text{ But recall that } O_2(F) \subseteq GL_2(F), \text{ therefore,}$$

$$ad - bc \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow ad \neq bc.$$

which together with  $ab + cd = 0 \Leftrightarrow ab = -cd$ .

ImPLY that  $c = \pm b$  and  $d = \mp a$ . From this we can conclude that  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ , obtaining the result, i.e.:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{bmatrix}, \text{ Hence } A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in F \text{ and } a^2 + b^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

Together, ( $\subseteq$ ) & ( $\supseteq$ )  $\Rightarrow O_2(F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in F \text{ and } a^2 + b^2 = 1 \right\}.$

(b) Find  $|O_2(F_7)|$  and  $|O_2(F_{11})|$ . Identify the group  $O_2(F_7)$ .

Solution:  $|O_2(F_7)| = \left| \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in F_7 \text{ and } a^2 + b^2 = 1 \right\} \right|$ , by part (a).

So first, let us find  $a, b \in F_7$  s.t.  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ . Considering  $F_7 = \{-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$ , we need only to check  $a, b \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  (squares of  $F_7$ ). the following table

Summarizes the operation  $a^2 + b^2$  on  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  over  $F_7$ :

$a \backslash b$	0	1	2
0	0	1	4
1	1	2	5
2	4	5	1

(Again, entries of this table are  $a^2 + b^2$  mod 7. we can see that the only numbers that work (in terms of  $O_2(F_7)$ , i.e.  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ )

$$(a, b) = (0, 1), (1, 0), (0, -1), (-1, 0), (2, 2), (2, -2), (-2, 2), (-2, -2).$$

Each of these pairs corresponds to two matrices in  $O_2(F_7)$ , therefore

$$|O_2(F_7)| = 2 \cdot 8 = \boxed{16}$$

Note that  $O_2(F_7)$  is not abelian: Consider  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in O_2(F_7)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$O_2(F_7)$  is isomorphic to  $D_{14}$ .  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{O}(p) \quad \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{O}(r) \Rightarrow \Gamma P \Gamma^{-1} = P^{-1}$

Using the same reasoning as before, we can compute  $|O_2(F_{11})|$ . We will need to look at pairs  $\{a, b\} \subseteq \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 9\}$ , s.t.  $a^2 + b^2 = 4$ .

a\b	0	1	3	4	5	9
0	0	1	9	5	3	4
1	1	2	10	6	4	5
3	9	10	7	3	1	2
4	5	6	3	10	8	9
5	3	4	1	8	6	7
9	4	5	2	9	7	8

Possible values for a and b are:  $(a, b) = (0, 1), (1, 0), (0, -1), (-1, 0), (3, 5), (5, 3), (-3, 5), (5, -3), (3, -5), (-5, 3), (-3, -5), (-5, -3)$ .

Again, each of these pairs correspond to two matrices, for example  $(5, -3) \mapsto \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ -3 & -5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ , and so on with all others.

Therefore,  $|O_2(F_{11})| = 2 \cdot 12 = 24$  +10

(4) (a) Let A be an  $m \times m$  matrix over F and let B be an  $n \times n$  matrix over F. Show that if C is any  $m \times n$  matrix over F then:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0_{n \times m} & B \end{pmatrix} = \det(A) \det(B)$$

Pf: Note that we can always factor the block matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$  as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{m \times m} & C_{m \times n} \\ 0_{n \times m} & B_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{m \times m} & AP + QB \\ 0_{n \times m} & B_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{m \times m} & Q \\ 0_{n \times m} & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_m & P \\ 0_{n \times m} & B_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $C_{m \times n} = AP + QB$ . We can always find such P, Q by solving the system  $AP + QB = C$ , where we will have more variables than equations (so we are sure to get a solution).

Hence, we only need to show that  $\det \begin{pmatrix} A & Q \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = \det(I)$  and  $\det \begin{pmatrix} I & P \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = \det(B)$  follow from  $\det \begin{pmatrix} A & Q \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$  by using a very similar argument.

1403 - Fall 2013 - HW10 - Enrique Arayan

Let us prove:  $\det \begin{pmatrix} A_{m \times m} & B_{m \times n} \\ 0_{n \times m} & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \det(A)$ , by induction on  $n$ .

BASE CASE: If  $n=1$ :

$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & b_1 \\ 0 & b_2 \\ & \vdots \\ & b_n \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{2(n+1)} \det(A) + (\text{a bunch of zeros from last row}) = \det(A)$ . BASE CASE HOLDS.

Inductive STEP: Suppose that the result holds for all  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ . We want to show that it holds for  $n$ .

$\det \begin{pmatrix} A_{m \times m} & B_{m \times n} \\ 0_{n \times m} & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B'_{m \times (n-1)} & b_n \\ 0 & I_{n-1} & 0 \\ & & \ddots \\ & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{2(n+m)} \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B'_{m \times (n-1)} \\ 0 & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \det(A)$  By inductive hypothesis  $\square$

Now apply this to:

$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} A & AP + aB \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = \det \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & Q \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & P \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right) = \det \begin{pmatrix} A & a \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} I & P \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = \det(A) \det(B)$

(b) Let  $V$  be an  $F$ -vector space and let  $T: V \rightarrow V$  be a linear transformation. Let  $W$  be a  $T$ -invariant subspace. Let  $\bar{T}: V/W \rightarrow V/W$  be the induced linear transformation. Prove that  $\text{ch}_{T|_W}(x) \text{ch}_{\bar{T}}(x) = \text{ch}_T(x)$ .

Pf: Since  $W$  is  $T$ -invariant, we know that there exists a basis of  $B$  such that:  $M_B(T) = \begin{pmatrix} M_{B_1}(T|_W) & * \\ 0 & M_{B_2}(\bar{T}) \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $B = (\underbrace{w_1, \dots, w_m}_{B_1}, \underbrace{w_{m+1}, \dots, w_n}_{B_2})$ , where  $B_1$  is a basis of  $W$  and you complete that basis to get a basis for  $V$  (we proved this in class). We also know that  $\text{ch}_T(x) = \text{ch}_{M_B(T)}(x)$  for any choice of a basis  $B$ . So, choosing the above basis:

$\text{ch}_T(x) = \det \begin{pmatrix} M_{B_1}(T|_W) & * \\ 0_{(n-m) \times m} & M_{B_2}(\bar{T}) \end{pmatrix} - x \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \det \left( \begin{pmatrix} M_{B_1}(T|_W) - \lambda I_m & * \\ 0 & M_{B_2}(\bar{T}) - \lambda I_{(n-m)} \end{pmatrix} \right)$

(by previous part)  $= \det(M_{B_1}(T|_W) - \lambda I_m) \cdot \det(M_{B_2}(\bar{T}) - \lambda I_{(n-m)}) = \text{ch}_{T|_W}(x) \cdot \text{ch}_{\bar{T}}(x)$

The result follows by definition of characteristic polynomial.

(5) Prove that if  $B$  and  $C$  are orthonormal bases for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then the change of basis matrix from  $B$  to  $C$  is orthogonal.

Pf: Let  $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  and  $C = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$  be orthonormal bases for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  
By definition,  $b_i \cdot b_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$ , likewise  $c_i \cdot c_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$ .

Consider the change of basis matrix from the basis  $B$  to the basis  $C$ :  
 $P_{B \rightarrow C} = [ [b_1]_C \mid [b_2]_C \mid \dots \mid [b_n]_C ]$ . We want to show that  $P$  is orthogonal.

that is:  $P^T P = I_n$ . Equivalently:  $[b_i]_C \cdot [b_j]_C = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

claim: Since  $C$  is an orthonormal basis,  $[b_i]_C = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle b_i, c_k \rangle c_k$ . Pf: Consider:  
 $[b_i]_C = d_1 c_1 + d_2 c_2 + \dots + d_n c_n$ . We want to show  $d_j = \langle b_i, c_j \rangle$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . This is true because:  
 $\langle b_i, c_j \rangle = \langle \sum_{k=1}^n d_k c_k, c_j \rangle = d_1 \langle c_1, c_j \rangle + d_2 \langle c_2, c_j \rangle + \dots + d_n \langle c_n, c_j \rangle$   
 $= d_j \langle c_j, c_j \rangle = d_j$  because  $C$  is an orthonormal basis.  $\square$  But then,  
 $\langle [b_i]_C, [b_j]_C \rangle = \langle \sum_{k=1}^n \langle b_i, c_k \rangle c_k, \sum_{l=1}^n \langle b_j, c_l \rangle c_l \rangle =$  (by expanding)  
 $= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle b_i, c_k \rangle \cdot \langle b_j, c_k \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$  which shows the result.

TL0

(6) Let  $W$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $(w_1, \dots, w_k)$  be a basis for  $W$ . Define vectors  $\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2, \dots, \tilde{w}_k$  as follows:  
 $\tilde{w}_1 = w_1$  and for  $0 < i < k$ ,  $\tilde{w}_{i+1} = w_{i+1} - \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{\langle w_{i+1}, \tilde{w}_j \rangle}{\langle \tilde{w}_j, \tilde{w}_j \rangle} \tilde{w}_j$ .

Prove that  $\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_k$  is an orthogonal basis for  $W$ .

Pf: By induction on the dimension of  $W$ . Consider the statement:  
 $S(k): \{ \tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_k \}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $W$ . So  $\dim(W) = k$ .  
Of course here  $0 < k \leq n$ , since  $W$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

BASE CASE:  $S(1): \{ \tilde{w}_1 \}$  is trivially an orthogonal basis for  $W$ .

Inductive STEP: Suppose that  $S(m)$  is true for some  $m$ , that is:  $\{ \tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_m \}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $W$ , where  $\dim(W) = k$ . We want to show that  $S(m+1)$  is true. So the goal is to show that  $\{ \tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_m, \tilde{w}_{m+1} \}$  is a basis for the space  $W$ , where  $\dim(W) = k$ . Note that if  $m = n$ , then there is nothing to prove so assume that  $m+1 \leq n$ . Let us first show that  $\{ \tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_{m+1} \}$  is an orthogonal set.

By inductive hypothesis,  $\{\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_m\}$  is an orthogonal set, hence, we need to show that if we add  $\tilde{w}_{m+1}$  the set remains orthogonal. But that amounts to show that this new vector is orthogonal with the old vectors.

that is:  $\langle \tilde{w}_i, \tilde{w}_{m+1} \rangle = 0$  for  $0 < i \leq m$ . this is true because:

$$\langle \tilde{w}_i, \tilde{w}_{m+1} \rangle = \langle \tilde{w}_i, w_{m+1} - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\langle w_{m+1}, \tilde{w}_j \rangle}{\langle \tilde{w}_j, \tilde{w}_j \rangle} \tilde{w}_j \rangle$$

$$= \langle \tilde{w}_i, w_{m+1} \rangle - \left[ \langle \tilde{w}_i, \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\langle w_{m+1}, \tilde{w}_j \rangle}{\langle \tilde{w}_j, \tilde{w}_j \rangle} \tilde{w}_j \rangle \right]$$

By properties of dot product:  $\langle a, b+c \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle + \langle a, c \rangle$

$$= \langle \tilde{w}_i, w_{m+1} \rangle - \left[ \langle \tilde{w}_i, \frac{\langle w_{m+1}, \tilde{w}_1 \rangle}{\langle \tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_1 \rangle} \tilde{w}_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle \tilde{w}_i, \frac{\langle w_{m+1}, \tilde{w}_m \rangle}{\langle \tilde{w}_m, \tilde{w}_m \rangle} \tilde{w}_m \rangle \right]$$

Taking out scalars.

$$= \langle \tilde{w}_i, w_{m+1} \rangle - \frac{\langle w_{m+1}, \tilde{w}_1 \rangle}{\langle \tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_1 \rangle} \langle \tilde{w}_i, \tilde{w}_1 \rangle - \dots - \frac{\langle w_{m+1}, \tilde{w}_m \rangle}{\langle \tilde{w}_m, \tilde{w}_m \rangle} \langle \tilde{w}_i, \tilde{w}_m \rangle$$

$$= \langle \tilde{w}_i, w_{m+1} \rangle - \frac{\langle w_{m+1}, \tilde{w}_i \rangle}{\langle \tilde{w}_i, \tilde{w}_i \rangle} \langle \tilde{w}_i, \tilde{w}_i \rangle$$

; by inductive hypothesis,  $\langle \tilde{w}_i, \tilde{w}_j \rangle = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ , for  $0 < i \leq m$ .

Canceling common factor

$$= \langle \tilde{w}_i, w_{m+1} \rangle - \langle w_{m+1}, \tilde{w}_i \rangle$$

$$= \langle \tilde{w}_i, w_{m+1} \rangle - \langle \tilde{w}_i, w_{m+1} \rangle$$

**HO**

since the dot product is symmetric is an orthogonal set.

$$= \boxed{0}$$

Hence,  $\{\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_{m+1}\}$  is an orthogonal set. Now we need to prove that  $\text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_{m+1}\} = \text{span}\{\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_{m+1}\}$ . Hence, by inductive hypothesis  $\text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_m\} = \text{span}\{\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_m\}$ . Hence, it suffices to show that  $w_{m+1} \in \text{span}\{\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_{m+1}\}$  and  $\tilde{w}_{m+1} \in \text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_{m+1}\}$ . The latter follows from the recursive definition of  $\tilde{w}_i$ , i.e., you produce  $\tilde{w}_i$  by taking linear combination with appropriate coefficients of  $w_j$ ;  $0 \leq j < i$ .

Likewise, this fact shows that  $w_{m+1} \in \text{span}\{\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_{m+1}\}$ . So this means that we have gained nothing new in terms of what is being spanned by the set  $\{w_1, \dots, w_{m+1}\}$  in relation to  $\{\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_{m+1}\}$ .

Finally, since we assumed that  $\{w_1, \dots, w_{m+1}\}$  is a basis of  $W$ , by our previous reasoning (about span), we can conclude that  $\{\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_{m+1}\}$  is linearly independent and hence, a orthogonal basis of  $W$ .

(7)(a) Prove that if  $n$  is an integer  $n \geq 3$ , then  $D_n$ , the dihedral group of order  $2n$ , is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Pf: We proved in 2(a) that:

$$O_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \quad a^2 + b^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

We want to find  $f: D_n \rightarrow O_2(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $f$  is an isomorphism, provided that  $n \geq 3$  is an integer.

We know that the dihedral group of order  $n$  is generated by two elements:  $\begin{cases} p = \text{rotation by } \frac{2\pi}{n} \\ r = \text{reflection across } y\text{-axis.} \end{cases}$  (10)

$$D_n = \langle p, r \rangle.$$

Now, the group  $O_2(\mathbb{R})$  is the group of all rotations by an angle  $\theta$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  together with reflections (it suffices to consider only one rotation - say across the  $x$ - or  $y$ -axis). Clearly, if we restrict ourselves to elements in  $O_2(\mathbb{R})$  that rotate by  $\frac{2\pi}{n}$  and reflect across the  $y$ -axis, then we will get a subgroup with exactly  $2n$  elements. In fact this subgroup will be isomorphic to  $D_n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ), which can be explained by the geometric action of the group in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , that is: rigid rotations and reflection. In particular all of these preserve lengths and map vertices to vertices, which is exactly what we wanted.

In short, to talk about dihedral groups  $D_n$ ; ( $n \geq 3$ ) is really the same as talking about all rigid motions of the  $n$ -gon, which is for sure a subgroup of all the rigid motions of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , that is,  $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

7 (b) Prove that:

$$O_2(\mathbb{R}) = \{ \text{group of symmetries of the unit circle} \}$$

$$= \{ f \mid f \text{ is a 1-1, onto function from the unit circle to itself that preserve distance} \}$$

Pf: We may use the characterization of  $O_2(\mathbb{R})$  as proved in 2(a)  $\{ \left( \begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{smallmatrix} \right) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } a^2 + b^2 = 1 \}$ .

We know that  $O_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } a^2 + b^2 = 1 \right\}$ .

So it suffices to show that:

$$\{ f \mid f \text{ is 1-1, onto, unit circle to itself, (preserves distance)} \} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } a^2 + b^2 = 1 \right\}$$

( $\subseteq$ ) We want to show that  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{pmatrix}$  (viewed as a function  $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ) is

(i) from unit circle to itself, (ii) 1-1, (iii) onto, (iv) distance preserving.

(i) Let  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$  be an arbitrary point in the unit circle.  $(x^2 + y^2 = 1)$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax + by \\ \pm bx + (\mp ay) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (ax + by)^2 + (\pm bx + (\mp ay))^2 &= a^2x^2 + b^2y^2 + 2abxy + b^2x^2 + a^2y^2 \pm 2(\pm bx)(\mp ay) \\ &= x^2(a^2 + b^2) + y^2(a^2 + b^2) \pm 2abxy - 2abxy \\ &= x^2 + y^2 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) 1-1: Let  $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}$  be two different points on the unit circle. Then, we can write  $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \varphi \\ \sin \varphi \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $\theta \neq \varphi$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \cos \theta + b \sin \theta \\ \pm b \cos \theta + \mp a \sin \theta \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} a \cos \varphi + b \sin \varphi \\ \pm b \cos \varphi + \mp a \sin \varphi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \varphi \\ \sin \varphi \end{bmatrix}$$

therefore,  $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , so  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{bmatrix}$  is 1-1.

(iii) onto: we want to show that  $\forall \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}$  (unit circle)  $\exists \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C} : \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . But note that  $\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{bmatrix} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{bmatrix}$  is invertible so we can always

find such a point. In fact this argument works for (ii) as well.

(iv) that  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm b & \mp a \end{pmatrix}$  is distance preserving follows from definition since it belongs to  $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

( $\supseteq$ ) A function with all said characteristic can be viewed as a matrix so the above argument is sufficient. (of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \pm \sin \theta & \mp \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$ )