

## Markov Chains

### M447 - Mathematical Models/Applications 1 October, 2014

Let us start by discussing an *example*.  
Consider the Markov Chain with 4 states whose transition probability matrix is given by:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{matrix} & \left\| \begin{array}{cccc} 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 1/3 & 7/10 & 0 \\ 0 & 2/10 & 8/10 & 0 \\ 1/10 & 0 & 0 & 9/10 \end{array} \right\| \end{matrix}$$

If we try to find for the long-term fraction of time spend in each state by solving  $wP = w$  directly, i.e., finding the left eigenvector with eigenvalue 1, we will get (using mathematica):

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Eigenvectors@Transpose}[\mathbf{P}] \\ &\quad \{ \{1/5, 0, 0, 1\}, \{0, 2/7, 1, 0\}, \{-1, 0, 0, 1\}, \{0, -1, 1, 0\} \} \\ &\text{Eigenvalues@Transpose}[\mathbf{P}] \\ &\quad \{1, 1, 2/5, 1/10\} \end{aligned}$$

First note that eigenvectors that change signs cannot possibly be normalized to provide a probability distribution so ignore these. We can see that there are two possible left eigenvectors with eigenvalue 1 specifically  $(1/5 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1)$  and  $(0 \ 2/7 \ 1 \ 0)$ . So, in this case there is no certainty as to what is the long-term fraction of time spend in each state since it actually depends on where you start the chain.

Looking back at the definition of  $\mathbf{P}$ , this example suggests that a disconnected (or non-ergodic) Markov Chain has no unique long-term distribution of time spend in each state. Let us try to prove that an ergodic Markov Chain has a unique long-term distribution and that the stable vector does not change sign.

In what follows suppose that  $\mathbf{P}$  is the transition matrix of an ergodic Markov Chain.  
*Theorem 1:* If  $w$  is a real solution to  $w = w\mathbf{P}$ , then  $w$  does not take different signs.

**Proof :** (by Contradiction). Suppose that the elements of  $w$  take different signs, i.e.,  $w = (w_1 \ w_2 \ \dots \ w_n)$ .

$$\text{Define } u' = \begin{pmatrix} \text{sign}(w_1) \\ \text{sign}(w_2) \\ \vdots \\ \text{sign}(w_n) \end{pmatrix} \text{ where, } \text{sign}(w_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w_i \geq 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } w_i < 0 \end{cases}$$

Recall that the product of matrices is associative and hence,  $(w\mathbf{P})u' = w(\mathbf{P}u')$ . Using this fact

$$\begin{aligned} w\mathbf{P}u' &= (w\mathbf{P})u' \\ &= wu' && \text{By hypothesis } w = w\mathbf{P} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |w_i| \end{aligned}$$

$$w\mathbf{P}u' = w(\mathbf{P}u')$$

$$= w \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } |x_i| \leq 1$$

If, for some  $i$  is true that  $|x_i| < 1$ , then  $|w\mathbf{P}u'| = |(w\mathbf{P})u'| > |u(\mathbf{P}u)| = |w\mathbf{P}u'|$ , so it follows  $|w\mathbf{P}u'| > |w\mathbf{P}u'|$  a contradiction.

Therefore, for all  $i$  we must have  $|x_i| = 1$  and  $\text{sign}(x_i) = \text{sign}(w_i)$ .  $\square$

*Theorem 2:* The stable vector of the chain  $\mathbf{P}$  is unique.

**Proof :** Let  $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\mathbf{P}u = u$ , because the rows of  $\mathbf{P}$  add up to 1.

Suppose  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $\mathbf{P}$  with associated eigenvector  $w$ . Consider the following:

$$w\mathbf{P} = \lambda w \quad \text{assumption}$$

$$w\mathbf{P}u = \lambda wu \quad \text{multiply both sides by } u$$

$$w(\mathbf{P}u) = \lambda(wu) \quad \text{associativity}$$

$$wu = \lambda(wu) \quad \text{since } \mathbf{P}u = u$$

$$\implies \lambda = 1$$

So the stable vector is the only vector with eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$ .  $\square$

**Remark:** Together *Theorem 1* and *Theorem 2* prove that if a Markov Chain is connected, then there is a unique solution to  $w = w\mathbf{P}$  and furthermore, the vector  $w$  can be written as a probability vector.